



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

3

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/632,897	08/07/2000	David T. Chang	M-9251US	2953
22883 7	10/21/2004		EXAMINER	
SWERNOFS: P.O. BOX 3900	KY LAW GROUP PC	•	BURGESS, B	ARBARA N
	VIEW, CA 94039-0013		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2157	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		/_)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	37
		09/632,897	CHANG ET AL.	(
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
		Barbara N Burgess	2157	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin- If the period for reply specified above in If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extended.	S COMMUNICATION.  Ider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  Idea of this communication.  I less than thirty (30) days, a reply  e, the maximum statutory period w  ed period for reply will, by statute,  nan three months after the mailing	(IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH)  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this committed  (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
,	2b)⊠ This in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
ciosea in accordance v	ith the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		·		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim( 5) □ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rej 7) □ Claim(s) is/are of 8) □ Claim(s) are sub	s) is/are withdrav illowed. ected. bjected to.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	•	
Applicant may not reques  Replacement drawing sho	is/are: a) acce t that any objection to the e eet(s) including the correct	r. epted or b)  ○ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of Certified copies of the ceapplication from	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Sta	ıge
Attachment(s)  1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-8	(92)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 412)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-c     )	awing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D		2)

Application/Control Number: 09/632,897 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to amendments filed August 15, 2004. Claims 1-32 are presented for further consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 3, 7-21, 26-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Diwan (US Patent 6,801,936).

As per claim 1, 17, 21, 29, Diwan discloses a method and database for customizing a structured document for delivery to an Internet appliance, comprising:

- Identifying information units in said structured document (column 1, lines 25-30, 35-40, column 2, lines 4-7, 48-52, column 3, lines 15-18, 30-33);
- Selecting one or more of said information units for delivery (column 5, lines 31-34, 50-59, 66-67, column 6, lines 1-5);
- Creating in a database a second structured document including said one or more of said information units (column 1, lines 48-52, 58-60, column 2, lines 44-48, column

Art Unit: 2157

4, lines 10-23, 38-40, 46-50, 61-65, column 5, lines 1-10, 38-45, column 6, lines 6-8); and

 Delivering said second structured document to said Internet appliance (column1, lines 60-63, column 2, 45-48, column 6, lines 17-20).

As per claim 3, Diwan discloses a method as in Claim 1, further comprising creating a menu including said information units, said menu being adapted for selection by a user and being presented to said user on a graphical display (column 3, lines 47-50, column 5, lines 27-30).

As per claim 7, Diwan discloses a method as in Claim 1, further comprising, prior to said identifying, specifying said structured document from by a uniform resource locator (URL) (column 2, lines 46-47, 59-61, column 3, lines 8-11).

As per claims 8, 15, 26, Diwan discloses a method and database as in Claims 1 and 21, further comprising, prior to said identifying, selecting said structured document from a list of bookmarks (column 3, lines 47-50, column 5, lines 27-30).

As per claim 9, Diwan discloses a method as in Claim 1, wherein said Internet appliance includes means for determining the Internet appliance's geographical location (column 4, lines 52-60).

As per claim 10, Diwan discloses a method as in Claim 9, further comprising including in said second document pre-configured resources (column 5, lines 27-35).

As per claim 11, Diwan discloses a method as in Claim 10, wherein said preconfigured resources comprises location-specific information (column 4, lines 55-60).

As per claim 12, Diwan discloses a method as in Claim 10, wherein said preconfigured resources are updated at specified time intervals (column 3, lines 30-34).

As per claim 13, Diwan discloses a method as in Claim 1, wherein said second document is adapted for display on said internet appliance in accordance with a profile of said internet appliance (column1, lines 60-63, column 2, 45-48, column 6, lines 17-20).

As per claim 14, Diwan discloses a method as in Claim 13, further comprising, prior to said selecting, creating said profile of said Internet appliance (column 4, lines 45-55).

As per claims 16, 27, Diwan discloses a method and database as in Claims 1 and 21, wherein said second structured document is associated in said database with a specified user (column 4, lines 39-55).

As per claim 18, Diwan discloses a database as in Claims 17, further comprising a channel record associated with said user client record, said channel representing a pre-configured resource (column 4, lines 37-60).

As per claim 19, 28, Diwan discloses a method database as in Claims 17 and 21, further comprising a site record associated with said user client record, said site record representing a specified resource (column 4, lines 37-60).

As per claims 20, 30-32, Diwan discloses a method and database as in Claims 19 and 29, further comprises a customization record associated with said site record, said customization record representing modification of said resource in accordance with said device profile record (column 4, lines 37-60).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diwan (US Patent 6,801,936) in view of Katariaya et al. (hereinafter "Kat", US 2002/0091789 A1).

As per claim 2, Freeman does not explicitly disclose a method as in Claim 1, wherein said second structured document comprises an XML document. However, the use and advantages for using such document is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by Kat (paragraph [0028]).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate the use of an XML document in Diwan's method in for industries to create their own customized markup languages for exchanging information.

5. Claims 4-6, 22-23, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diwan in view of Feinleib.

As per claims 4-6, 22-23, 25, Diwan does not explicitly disclose a method as in Claim 1, wherein said Internet appliance comprises a personal digital assistant. However, the use and advantages for using a pda is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by Feinleib (column 1, lines 25-35).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate sending to a personal digital assistant in Diwan's method in order to receive email messages.

Art Unit: 2157

#### Response to Arguments

#### The Office notes the following arguments:

- (a) Applicants also note that Freeman is continuation-in-part application that claims priority from U.S. Patent App. No. 09/335,372, which was filed on June 17, 1999.

  Applicants have noted that U.S. Patent No. 6,181,334 and No. 5,724,091 from which Freeman also claims priority contain disclosures that differ significantly from Freeman's.
- (b) Applicants note that the application for Freeland was filed August 2, 2002.

  Applicants note that Freeland is a continuation application that claims priority from U.S.

  Patent App. No. PCT/AU01/00111, which was filed on Feb. 2, 2001. Both of these filing dates are after the Aug. 7, 2000 filing date of the present application.

## In response to:

(a)-(b) The applicant's arguments are most in view of new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (703) 305-3366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/632,897

Art Unit: 2157

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

\*\*\*

SALEH NAJJAH PRIMARY EXAMINER